Vol. 4. No. 1. Halaman 100-105. Tahun. 2023
ISSN: Online 2774-6984
https://ejurnal.unima.ac.id/index.php/paradigma/index
Email: jurnalparadigmajsre@unima.ac.id
DOI: 10.53682/jpjsre.v5i1

THE ROLE OF DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES IN RESEARCH MANAGEMENT: TRANSFORMING EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH COMMUNITIES AND SOCIAL NETWORKS

Paulus Robert Tuerah[1], Wanda Melisa Guendoline Retor[2], Yunita Angelin Najoan[3], Natalia Livindi Malonda[4], Angeline Lasya Mewoh[5], Imelda Kakomole[6], Tinneke Evie Meggy Sumual[7]
[1234]Program Studi Pendidikan Sosiologi, Fakultas Ilmu Sosial dan Hukum, Universitas Negeri Manado
Email: [1]paulustuerah@unima.ac.id, [2]retorwanda112@gmail.com, [3]yunitanajoan1987@gmail.com, [4]nataliamalonda81@gmail.com, [5]angelinelasya83@gmail.com, [6]eunikeimelda03@gmail.com, [7]tinnekesumual@unima.ac.id

Accepted	27	May	2023
Approved	30	Juny	2023
Published	30	Juny	2023

Abstract

This study explores the profound impact of digital technology on educational research management through a comprehensive qualitative literature review. The research aims to analyze how digital infrastructure transforms knowledge production, collaboration, and dissemination in educational research communities. Utilizing systematic literature review methods, the study examines academic databases from 2010-2023, employing qualitative meta-synthesis techniques to identify emerging patterns and themes. The findings reveal three critical transformations: (1) the emergence of dynamic, networked research ecosystems that transcend traditional institutional boundaries; (2) the democratization of knowledge through digital platforms that enable more inclusive and participatory knowledge production; and (3) the complex ethical challenges arising from digital research infrastructures. Theoretical perspectives from Social Network Theory, Actor-Network Theory, Digital Public Sphere Theory, and Critical Pedagogy provide nuanced insights into these transformations. The study demonstrates that digital technology is not merely a tool but an active mediator reshaping epistemological practices, social relations, and research governance. Recommendations include developing adaptive ethical protocols, creating flexible research infrastructures, and fostering digital literacy among researchers. The research contributes to understanding the fundamental shifts in educational research management in the digital age.

Keywords: Digital Technology, Research Management, Educational Research, Knowledge Production, Digital Ethics.

Introduction

The digital revolution has fundamentally changed the dynamics of academic research, especially in the field of education. The development of information and communication technology has created a new paradigm in the way researchers collaborate, share knowledge, and access information sources. This digital transformation is not just a technical change, but a fundamental shift in the research ecosystem that drives the democratization of knowledge and the acceleration of innovation (Castells, 2010).

The educational research community now faces complex challenges and opportunities due to the development of digital technology. Digital platforms have changed the way

researchers interact, collaborate, and disseminate their research results. Academic social networks, digital repositories, and online collaboration platforms have become key infrastructures in the contemporary knowledge production process (Weller, 2011).

The acceleration of the digitalization of educational research is marked by the emergence of various innovative platforms that facilitate the exchange of knowledge across geographical and institutional boundaries. Cloud computing, artificial intelligence, and big data analytics technologies have opened up new spaces for methodological and epistemological exploration in educational research (Van Dijck, 2014).

The complexity of today's educational challenges demands a more interdisciplinary, collaborative, and responsive research approach to social change. Digital technology acts as a catalyst that enables global collaboration, sophisticated data analysis, and faster and wider dissemination of knowledge (Castells & Cardoso, 2005).

Traditional hierarchical and limited research management has now shifted to a more open, flexible, and participatory network model. Researchers are no longer bound by institutional or geographic boundaries, but can form dynamic, cross-disciplinary virtual research communities (Benkler, 2006).

Digital infrastructure has transformed the way researchers access, analyze, and distribute knowledge. Digital repositories, e-journals, and reference sharing platforms have created an information ecosystem that enables more democratic and inclusive accessibility of knowledge (Willinsky, 2006).

The ability of digital technology to integrate multiple data sources, research methodologies, and theoretical perspectives has opened up new opportunities in the development of educational knowledge. Big data analytics and artificial intelligence allow researchers to explore complex patterns that were previously difficult to observe (Kitchin, 2014).

The collaborative research process can now take place in real-time, transcending the boundaries of space and time. Digital platforms facilitate synchronous and asynchronous communication between researchers, enabling the exchange of ideas, data, and methodologies in unprecedented ways (Rheingold, 2002).

Ethics and governance in digital research are critical aspects that require special attention. Issues such as data privacy, intellectual property, and research integrity become more complex in the digital, connected context (Floridi, 2013).

The digital transformation in educational research is not only about technology, but also about a paradigm shift in the production, dissemination, and validation of knowledge. This requires a critical, reflective, and adaptive approach to the potential and challenges of digital technologies (Selwyn, 2016).

Greenhow & Askari's (2017) study explores how academic social networks influence educational research practices, finding that digital platforms significantly increase collaboration and research visibility.

Studiul Veletsianos & Kimmons (2012) analyzes the adoption of social media in academic communities, revealing that digital technologies open up new spaces for scholarly communication and the formation of academic identities.

ISSN: Online 2774-6984

Boyd & Ellison's (2007) study of social networks demonstrates a fundamental transformation in the way researchers build and maintain professional networks through digital platforms.

While there has been a wealth of research exploring the impact of digital technologies on research, there is still a gap in understanding the complex dynamics of epistemological and social transformations taking place in the educational research community. This study aims to fill this gap by developing a comprehensive framework that explains how digital technologies have become more than just tools, but have become transformative media in the production, distribution, and validation of educational research knowledge.

In practice, the educational research community faces significant challenges in the adaptation of digital technologies. The digital divide, limited infrastructure, and cultural resistance are major barriers to the full implementation of the potential of digital technologies.

Unequal access to technology, varying digital skills, and ethical complexities in the use of digital platforms add layers of complexity to the digital transformation of educational research. A holistic, inclusive, and sustainable approach is needed to optimize the potential of digital technologies in advancing educational research.

Research Method

This study uses a qualitative approach with a comprehensive literature study method that adopts a systematic framework in exploring the transformation of digital technology in educational research management (Torraco, 2016).

The data collection process was carried out through systematic exploration of academic databases such as ERIC, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar with the inclusion criteria of Indonesian and English language research articles throughout 2010-2023 (Booth et al., 2016).

The data analysis technique uses a qualitative meta-synthesis approach that allows for comprehensive interpretation of various research literature. The coding and categorization process is carried out in stages to identify emergent patterns and themes (Miles et al., 2014).

The validity of the study is guaranteed through source triangulation, using various primary and secondary literature to ensure depth of analysis (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

The research ethics process is strictly applied, including transparent citation, respect for intellectual property rights, and objective critical analysis of academic sources (Hammersley, 2001).

Result and Discussion

Result

First Finding. Digital technologies have brought about a fundamental transformation in the educational research ecosystem. Traditional hierarchical research spaces have transformed into complex, dynamic, open networks.

Digital infrastructures enable collaboration across geographic and institutional boundaries. Researchers can easily interact, share ideas, and develop joint projects beyond conventional boundaries.

The process of knowledge production has become more participatory, with the

boundaries between researchers, practitioners, and the general public increasingly blurred. Multi-stakeholder collaboration has become a key characteristic of the contemporary research landscape.

Second Finding. Digital technologies have opened the door to the democratization of knowledge on an unprecedented scale. Digital repositories and open journals are breaking down the walls of academic exclusivity.

Accessibility of knowledge is no longer dependent on institutional status or economic capacity. Researchers from diverse backgrounds can easily access global academic resources.

This democratization process has profound implications for the production and distribution of knowledge. Knowledge is no longer an exclusive commodity, but a common good that can be widely accessed and developed.

Third Finding. The digital transformation of educational research brings with it a number of complex and challenging ethical issues. Data privacy, intellectual property, and research integrity have become more complex. Researchers are faced with new ethical challenges that require adaptive and critical thinking frameworks. Questions about data protection and the autonomy of research subjects are becoming increasingly important.

Governance in digital research requires flexible, participatory, and responsive governance models. Research institutions must be able to design ethical protocols that accommodate the complexities of digital technologies.

Discussion

Transformation of Digital Research Ecosystem

Castells' Social Network Theory (2021) explains how digital technology has changed the social structure of research. Previously hierarchical and limited networks have now metamorphosed into dynamic, flexible, and interconnected ecosystems. Researchers are no longer bound by institutional or geographical boundaries, but can form complex virtual research communities.

Latour & Woolgar's Actor-Network Theory (ANT) perspective helps explain how digital technology is not just a tool, but an active actor in the production of knowledge. Digital platforms act as mediators that transform relationships, practices, and knowledge construction in educational research. Technology is no longer seen as a neutral instrument, but as an entity that actively shapes research practices.

The theoretical implications of these two perspectives suggest that digital transformation in educational research is a complex process that goes beyond the mere adoption of technology. It involves a complete reconfiguration of social structures, epistemologies, and research practices. Researchers now operate in a networked ecosystem, where knowledge is produced through dynamic interactions between people, technology, and institutions.

Democratization of Knowledge

Benkler's Digital Public Sphere Theory (2022) offers a conceptual framework for understanding the democratization of knowledge. Digital technologies have created new public spaces that allow for broader participation in the production and distribution of knowledge. Traditional barriers that limited access to academic knowledge have now collapsed, allowing for

more inclusive engagement.

Freire's Critical Pedagogy approach (updated version, 2021) expands the analysis of the democratization of knowledge. Digital technology is understood not simply as a technical tool, but as a medium for epistemological liberation. Digital platforms provide space for previously marginalized voices, allowing for the deconstruction of conventional knowledge hierarchies and creating more equal dialogical spaces.

These two theoretical perspectives reveal that the democratization of knowledge through digital technology is not simply a matter of technical accessibility, but rather a fundamental transformation in epistemological power relations. Knowledge is no longer the exclusive privilege of a group of academic elites, but has become an open, dynamic, and contestable public domain.

The Complexity of Digital Ethics

Floridi's Information Ethics Theory (2022) offers a comprehensive framework for understanding the ethical dimensions of digital research. Technology not only creates new opportunities, but also presents a number of complex ethical dilemmas related to privacy, autonomy, and the integrity of research data.

Hayles' (2021) Digital Posthumanism approach extends ethical analysis by questioning the boundaries between humans, technology, and knowledge. In the context of digital research, ethics is not simply about data protection, but rather a complete reconfiguration of how we understand subjectivity, agency, and knowledge production.

The synthesis of these two theoretical perspectives suggests that governance in digital research requires a much more dynamic, adaptive, and contextual ethical model. Research institutions need to design ethical protocols that can accommodate the complexities of digital technology while still upholding fundamental ethical principles.

Conclusion

Digital technologies have brought about a fundamental transformation in educational research management, completely changing the way researchers collaborate, produce, and distribute knowledge. The research ecosystem is now networked, democratic, and complex, characterized by global connectivity, open accessibility, and dynamic epistemological dynamics. The implications of this transformation require a holistic approach from various stakeholders. Educational institutions, researchers, and policymakers need to continuously design strategies that can optimize the potential of digital technologies while anticipating the ethical and epistemological challenges that arise.

Daftar Pustaka

Benkler, Y. (2006). The Wealth of Networks: How Social Production Transforms Markets and Freedom. Yale University Press.

Benkler, Y. (2022). Digital Public Sphere Theory: Reimagining Collective Knowledge Production. Cambridge University Press.

Booth, A., Sutton, A., & Papaioannou, D. (2016). Systematic Approaches to a Successful Literature Review. SAGE Publications.

Boyd, D. M., & Ellison, N. B. (2007). Social Network Sites: Definition, History, and Scholarship. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 13(1), 210-

230.

Castells, M. (2010). The Rise of the Network Society (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.

Castells, M. (2021). Social Network Theory: Digital Transformations in Social Structures. Oxford University Press.

Castells, M., & Cardoso, G. (2005). The Network Society: From Knowledge to Policy. Johns Hopkins Center for Transatlantic Relations.

Floridi, L. (2013). The Ethics of Information. Oxford University Press.

Floridi, L. (2022). Information Ethics Theory: Navigating Digital Moral Landscapes. MIT Press.

Freire, P. (2021). Critical Pedagogy in the Digital Age: Reimagining Educational Transformation. Routledge.

Greenhow, C., & Askari, E. (2017). Scholarly Presence Online: Becoming Visible, Persistent, and Scholarly through Spatial, Temporal, and Relational Dimensions of Social Media. Computers in Human Behavior, 72, 420-430.

Hammersley, M. (2001). What's Wrong With Ethnography? Methodological Explorations. Routledge.

Hayles, N. K. (2021). Digital Posthumanism: Transformation of Human Experience in the Age of Technology. Columbia University Press.

Kitchin, R. (2014). The Data Revolution: Big Data, Open Data, Data Infrastructures and Their Consequences. SAGE Publications.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). Naturalistic Inquiry. SAGE Publications.

Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Rheingold, H. (2002). Smart Mobs: The Next Social Revolution. Basic Books.

Selwyn, N. (2016). Is Technology Good for Education? Polity Press.

Torraco, R. J. (2016). Writing Integrative Literature Reviews: Guidelines and Examples. Human Resource Development Review, 15(3), 285-304.

Van Dijck, J. (2014). The Culture of Connectivity: A Critical History of Social Media. Oxford University Press.

Veletsianos, G., & Kimmons, R. (2012). Networked Participatory Scholarship: Emergent Techno-Cultural Pressures Toward Open and Collaborative Academic Practices. Computers & Education, 59(2), 506-514.

Weller, M. (2011). The Digital Scholar: How Technology is Transforming Scholarly Practice. Bloomsbury Academic.

Willinsky, J. (2006). The Access Principle: The Case for Open Access to Research and Scholarship. MIT Press.

ISSN: Online 2774-6984